Replacement Issues.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Authorization: (1) to Replace San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Unit Nos. 2 & 3 (SONGS 2 & 3) Steam Generators; (2) Establish Ratemaking for Cost Recovery; and (3) Address Other Related Steam Generator

Application 04-02-026 (Filed February 27, 2004)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING RECEIVING TUBE DEGRADATION RESULTS EXHIBIT INTO EVIDENCE

During the course of the evidentiary hearings, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) indicated that the Dominion Engineering, Inc. (DEI) statistical analysis of the tube degradation results of the last refueling outage for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) Unit 3 would be available in May 2005. Likewise, the results for SONGS Unit 2's most recent refueling outage were previously prepared. These results are relevant to the determination of the cost-effectiveness of the steam generator replacement program addressed in this application, but were not in the record.

By a ruling dated April 29, 2005, I required SCE to provide the results of the DEI analyses of SONGS Units 2 and 3, without adjustment by SCE, in the form of graphs comparable to Figure II-6 and Figure II-7 in Exhibit SCE-2. The results were also to be shown in tabular form. SCE's response to my ruling was to be filed as a compliance filing. I stated in the ruling that I planed to make

197858 - 1 -

A.04-02-026 JPO/avs

SCE's response an exhibit. Parties were allowed to review SCE's response and object or comment as they saw fit.

On May 26, 2005, SCE made the required compliance filing. On June 3, 2005, San Diego Gas and Electric Company (SDG&E) filed a response to SCE's compliance filing stating that it believed the updated Figures II-6 and II-7 were not accurate, although it did not object to the tabular data. On June 9, 2005, SCE mailed a letter to me, with a copy to the parties, explaining that the tic marks on the horizontal axes of updated Figures II-6 and II-7 represent the end of the year indicated. No other responses were filed by the parties. With the explanation provided by SCE, the updated Figures II-6 and II-7 appear to match the data provided in tabular form.

Therefore, **IT IS RULED** that the May 26, 2005 compliance filing of Southern California Edison (SCE) in response to my April 29, 2005 ruling, modified to indicate that the tic marks on the horizontal axes of updated Figures II-6 and II-7 represent the end of the year indicated, is marked for identification as Exhibit SCE-42 and received into evidence.

Dated June 21, 2005, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Jeffrey P. O'Donnell Jeffrey P. O'Donnell Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I nereby certify that I have this day served a copy of Administrative Law
Judge's Ruling Receiving Tube Degradation Results Exhibit into Evidence by
using the following service:
☐ E-Mail Service: sending the entire document as an attachment to all
known parties of record who have provided electronic mail addresses.
igotimes U.S. Mail Service: mailing by first-class mail with postage prepaid to
all known parties of record who did not provide electronic mail addresses.
Dated June 21, 2005, at San Francisco, California.
/s/ Antonina V. Swansen
Antonina V. Swansen

NOTICE

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.